



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/628,583 | 07/29/2003 | Yannis Labrou | 1634.1005 | 4123 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 10/08/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP | | | WORJLOH, JALATIE | |
| SUITE 700 | | | ART UNIT | |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | 3685 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | |
| | | | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/08/2008 | |
| | | | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/628,583

Applicant(s)

LABROU ET AL.

Examiner

Jalatee Worjloh

Art Unit

3685

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jalatee Worjloh.

(3) _____.

(2) Mehdi Sheikerz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Slater and Hurst.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the differences between the prior art and the prevent invention. The 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs rejections were discussed. Upon receiving an Official Response, further consideration and search is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jalatee Worjloh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3685